

PROPOSED SEQUENCE HOMOLOGY BETWEEN THE 5'-END REGIONS OF PROKARYOTIC 23 S rRNA AND EUKARYOTIC 28 S rRNA

Relevance to the hypothesis that 5.8 S rRNA is homologous to the 5'-end region of 23 S rRNA

William F. WALKER

Department of Biochemistry, Sir Charles Tupper Bldg., Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4H7, Canada

Received 30 January 1981

1. Introduction

It was proposed in [1] that the 5'-end region of prokaryotic 23 S rRNA may be homologous with, and the functional equivalent of, 5.8 S rRNA in eukaryotes. The significance of the proposed whole sequence identities between trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) 5.8 S rRNA and the 5'-end region of *Escherichia coli* 23 S rRNA [1] was recently questioned [2], given the large number of assumed deletions and insertions, and a more convincing case was presented based upon a selective high percentage identity between only highly conserved nucleotide positions in 5.8 S and proposed homologous positions in *E. coli* 23 S rRNA. The question arose whether a sequence homologous with 5.8 S and the 5'-end regions of 23 S rRNA exists at or near the 5'-end in eukaryotic 28 S rRNA. This question was left unanswered as no adequate data was then known. Such data on the 5'-end region of *Xenopus laevis* 28 S has now become available [3]. An alignment of homologous positions in the 5'-end region of *E. coli* 23 S and *Xenopus* 28 S rRNA is proposed here and the favorable implications for the hypothesis that 5.8 S rRNA is homologous with the 5'-end region of prokaryotic 23 S rRNA are noted.

2. Proposed alignment of 5'-end regions of *E. coli* 23 S and *Xenopus* 28 S rRNA

Comparison of the *Xenopus* 28 S rRNA partial sequence [3] with the 5'-end region of *E. coli* 23 S rRNA [4] (fig.1) reveals a high percentage (72%) identity between positions 1–118 in the 28 S sequence

and positions 158–275 in the 23 S rRNA sequence (as far as the 28 S rRNA was sequenced). Only 2 single nucleotide deletions or insertions are assumed, both in the region nearest the 5'-end of the 28 S rRNA, which shows a lower percentage identity than the more interior region.

3. Apparent partial overlap of regions of homology in 5.8 S, 23 S and 28 S rRNA

The first 15 positions of the proposed 23 S–28 S rRNA alignment overlaps with the last 13 positions on the 3'-end region of 5.8 S rRNA, according to the alignment proposed in fig.1. This alignment differs from the proposed alignment of 5.8 S and *E. coli* 23 S rRNA [1] in that the GUC sequence in positions 155–157 has been shifted one position toward the

```

XENOPUS 5.8S      150  UGAGG-GUC-GCUCGAGC...
                    *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *
XENOPUS 28S      1  UCAGACCUICAGAUICAGACGGGGG-ACCCGUGAUAUUAAGCAUUAUUAAGCGGAGG
                    *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *
E. COLI 23S      158  UGAUCCAUAGGUUA-AUGAGGCGAACCGGGGGAUCUGAAACAUUAAGUACCCGAGG
                    *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *

XENOPUS 28S      AAAAGAAACUACCGAGAUUCCCCAGUACGGGAGUGAAGAGGAGCCAGCGGCC...
                    *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *
E. COLI 23S      AAAAGAAAUCAACCGAGAUUCCCCAGUAGCGGGAGGAGAACCGGAGCAGCCAGAGCC...
                    *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *

```

Fig.1. Proposed alignment of the 3'-end region of *Xenopus laevis* somatic 5.8 S rRNA and the 5'-end regions of *X. laevis* 28 S and *Escherichia coli* 23 S rRNA, beginning at positions 150, 1 and 158 from the 5'-end, respectively. The underlined positions in the 5.8 S rRNA partial sequence are spacer positions according to [3]. Asterisks denote positions with identical nucleotides in the sequences on either side.

5'-end. Further sequence data may provide a more confident judgement as to which of these alignments is more likely. The first 4 nucleotides of the spacer between *Xenopus* 5.8 S and 28 S rRNA [3] are identical to possible homologous positions in *Xenopus* 28 S rRNA (fig.1). Thus, 12/19 positions, including these spacer positions, or 8/15 positions without them in the proposed region of 5.8 S–28 S rRNA overlap have identical nucleotides. This compares to a mean of 8.5/15 identities in this region between *Xenopus* and *Vicia faba* bean [5], wheat [6], yeast [7] or *Neurospora* [8] 5.8 S rRNA.

It is unfortunate that the region of proposed 5.8 S–28 S rRNA overlap is part of a region of comparatively high variability within 5.8 S rRNA sequences [2] and between the 23 S–28 S rRNA comparison (fig.1). Comparisons between a variety of eukaryotic 5.8 S and 28 S rRNA and prokaryotic 23 S rRNA may provide a more definitive test of the hypothesis that some positions homologous with the 3'-end region of eukaryotic 5.8 S rRNA are present on the 5'-end of eukaryotic 28 S rRNA.

4. Conclusions

The 5'-end region of *Xenopus* 28 S rRNA is proposed to be homologous with a region of *E. coli* 23 S rRNA beginning 158 nucleotides from the 5'-end of the 23 S rRNA. The apparent absence of a sequence in the 5'-end region of *Xenopus* 28 S rRNA homologous with the 5'-terminal 157 nucleotides of *E. coli*

23 S rRNA (approximating in length that of eukaryotic 5.8 S rRNA) adds further credence to the hypothesis [1,2] that eukaryotic 5.8 S rRNA is homologous with and possibly functionally equivalent to the 5'-end region of prokaryotic 23 S rRNA. A small proposed overlap in homology between the 3 representative sequences of 5.8 S, 23 S and 28 S rRNA provides a suggestion that 5.8 S rRNA may once have been part of a 28 S rRNA-like molecule in eukaryotes.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank Ron MacKay for a critical reading of the manuscript, W. Ford Doolittle for hospitality in his laboratory, and the Dorothy Killam Trust Fund for support in the form of a post-doctoral fellowship.

References

- [1] Nazar, R. N. (1980) FEBS Lett. 119, 212–214.
- [2] Walker, W. F. (1981) submitted.
- [3] Hall, L. M. C. and Maden, B. E. H. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res. 8, 5993–6005.
- [4] Brosius, J., Dull, T. J. and Noller, H. F. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 200–204.
- [5] Tanaka, Y., Dyer, T. A. and Brownlee, G. G. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res. 8, 1259–1272.
- [6] MacKay, R. M., Spencer, D., Doolittle, W. F. and Gray, M. W. (1980) Eur. J. Biochem. 112, 561–576.
- [7] Rubin, G. M. (1973) J. Biol. Chem. 248, 3850–3875.
- [8] Selker, E. and Yanofsky, C. (1979) Nucleic Acids Res. 7, 2561–2567.